UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,816	01/22/2004	William J. Shaw	10527-455001	6207
26161 FISH & RICHA	7590 02/08/2007 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	2	ISABELLA, DAVID J		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

_
~
MI.
4 -

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/762,816	SHAW, WILLIAM J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DAVID J. ISABELLA	3738			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		1			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u> ☐	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 November 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ⊠ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-5,7-25,27-40,46 and 51 is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-5,7-25,27-40,46 and 51 are subjection Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification	awn from consideration. ct to restriction and/or election r	requirement.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/762,816

Art Unit: 3738

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- A) device composition:
 - 1. single ceramic fiber and single metalloid;
 - 2. single ceramic fiber and two metalloid
 - 3. first and second ceramic fiber
 - 4. single ceramic fiber and single metalloid
 - 5. single ceramic fiber and non-ceramic fiber
 - 6. polymer, ceramic fiber and metalloid
- B) form of the device
 - 1. stent
 - 2. stent-graft
 - 3. medical balloon
 - 4. catheter
- C) polymer-fiber combination
 - 1. polymer layered on structure
 - 2. polymer matrix and fiber reinforcement
 - 3. polymer layer and second layer of fiber with metalloid
 - 4. tubular structure, polymer and fiber on the tubular structure

Application/Control Number: 10/762,816

Art Unit: 3738

D) fiber configuration

1. continuous length along the entire length of the device

Page 3

2. helically wound about the device

3. discrete fibers

4. woven

5. knitted

6. intertwined

7, braided

The species are independent or distinct because as supported by applicant's own specification, the various embodiments are set forth in different patents. Continous fibered devices are physically different than discrete fibered devices. The process of weaving is distinct from the processes of knitting or braiding. Layering and various conformation of the subcomponents are distinct in the prior arts. Lastly, a stent, stent-graft, balloon and catheter all have separate status in the art.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Page 4

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Mr. John Freeman on 2/2/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions Art Unit: 3738

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J. ISABELLA whose telephone number is 571-272-4749. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> DAWD JYSABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI 2/2/2007